## ATTACHMENT 13

```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                      SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4
5
      IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL
6
                                   )
      ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) Lead Case No. 3:21-cv-03825-VC
7
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
      ALL CASES
9
      SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE )
10
      COMPANY, INC.,
                    Plaintiff,
                                   ) Case No. 3:21-cv-03496-VC
11
12
           vs.
      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
13
                   Defendant.
14
15
16
                 REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
17
                        KAREN F. WANINGER
18
19
                    Thursday, October 6, 2022
                             Volume I
20
21
22
      Reported by:
      NADIA NEWHART
23
      CSR No. 8714
24
      Job No. 5504267
25
      PAGES 1 - 90
                                                             Page 1
```

| UNITED STATES D                         |                                |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |                                |  |
| SAN FRANCISC                            | O DIVISION                     |  |
|   |                                |  |
|   |                                |  |
| IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL                |                                |  |
|   | ) Lead Case No. 3:21-cv-03825- |  |
| ROBOT AMILIKOBI BITTOMITON              | )                              |  |
|   |                                |  |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:               | )                              |  |
| ALL CASES                               | )                              |  |
|   | )                              |  |
| SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE             |                                |  |
| COMPANY, INC.,                          | )                              |  |
|   | )                              |  |
| Plaintiff,                              | ) Case No. 3:21-cv-03496-VC    |  |
|   | )                              |  |
| vs.                                     | )                              |  |
|   | )                              |  |
| INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,               | )                              |  |
|   | )                              |  |
| Defendant.                              | )                              |  |
|   | )                              |  |
| Remote videotaped de                    | nosition of                    |  |
| KAREN F. WANINGER, Volume I             | -                              |  |
| Defendant Intuitive Surgica             |                                |  |
| participants appearing remo             |                                |  |
| and the witness testifying              | -                              |  |
| beginning at 12:59 p.m. and             | <del>-</del>                   |  |
| Thursday, October 6, 2022,              |                                |  |
| Certified Shorthand Reporte             |                                |  |
| -                                       |                                |  |
|   |                                |  |
|   | Page 2                         |  |
|   | Page 2                         |  |

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
      For Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class
      and the Witness:
 4
           COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC
                CHRISTOPHER J. BATEMAN, ESQ.
 6
           88 Pine Street
           New York, New York 10005
 8
 9
           212-838-7797
           CBateman@cohenmilstein.com
10
11
           (Remote appearance.)
12
13
      For Surgical Instruments Service Company, Inc.:
           HALEY GUILIANO, LLP
14
15
                DONNY K. SAMPORNA, ESQ.
16
           111 North Market Street, Suite 900
17
           San Jose, California 95113
18
           669-213-1080
           donny.samporna@hglaw.com
19
             (Remote appearance.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 3
```

```
1
      APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
 3
      For Defendant Intuitive Surgical, Inc.:
            COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
 4
 5
            BY: ISAAC D. CHAPUT, ESQ.
            BY: MARIAM AZHAR, ESQ.
 6
            415 Mission Street, Suite 5400
 7
            San Francisco, California 94105-2533
 8
 9
            415-591-7020
10
            ichaput@cov.com
            mazhar@cov.com
11
12
            (Remote appearance.)
13
      Also Present:
14
            PAT DOWNES, ESQ., Franciscan Alliance in-house counsel
15
            RAMON PERAZA, Videographer
16
17
            (Remote appearance.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                          Page 4
```

| 1  | service agreements.                                |          |
|----|--|----------|
| 2  | Q Do you recall any instances where you have       |          |
| 3  | negotiated service agreements with third-party     |          |
| 4  | equipment service providers that were not an       |          |
| 5  | original equipment manufacturer?                   | 01:23:25 |
| 6  | A I have not, that I recall negotiated service     |          |
| 7  | agreements with third parties since I have been at |          |
| 8  | Franciscan.  |          |
| 9  | Q Do you have any understanding of the the         |          |
| 10 | meaning of the term "reprocessing" as it's used by | 01:23:48 |
| 11 | the FDA?   |          |
| 12 | MR. BATEMAN: Objection; vague, calls for a         |          |
| 13 | legal conclusion.                                  |          |
| 14 | You can answer to the best of your ability.        |          |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I do not know the FDA                 | 01:23:58 |
| 16 | definition of reprocessing.                        |          |
| 17 | BY MR. CHAPUT:                                     |          |
| 18 | Q Do you have any understanding of the FDA         |          |
| 19 | definition of remanufacturing?                     |          |
| 20 | A I  | 01:24:07 |
| 21 | MR. BATEMAN: Same objections.                      |          |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I do not have an understanding        |          |
| 23 | of the FDA definition of remanufacturing.          |          |
| 24 | BY MR. CHAPUT:                                     |          |
| 25 | Q Do you have any understanding of the FDA         | 01:24:14 |
|    |  | Page 26  |

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 3 certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 6 7 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that 8 a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 9 under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is 10 11 a true record of the testimony given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the 13 original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review 15 of the transcript [X] was [ ] was not requested. 16 17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 18

I further certify that I am neither financially of any attorney or any party to this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name.

Dated: October 20, 2022

22 23

19

20

21

24

25

lia newhart NADIA NEWHART

CSR NO. 8714

Page 87